

ProtoSociology

An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

Volume 29, 2012

China's Modernization II

www.protosociology.de

© 2012 Gerhard Preyer
Frankfurt am Main
<http://www.protosociology.de>
peter@protosociology.de

Erste Auflage / first published 2012
ISSN 1611–1281

Bibliografische Information Der Deutschen Bibliothek
Die Deutsche Bibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.ddb.de> abrufbar.

Alle Rechte vorbehalten.

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung der Zeitschrift und seines Herausgebers unzulässig und strafbar. Das gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und die Einspeisung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek
Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie;
detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.ddb.de>.
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission of ProtoSociology.

ProtoSociology

An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

Volume 29, 2012

China's Modernization II

CONTENTS

ON CONTEMPORARY THEORY OF MODERNISATION

- Multiple Modernities and the Theory of Indeterminacy –
On the Development and Theoretical Foundations of the
Historical Sociology of Shmuel N. Eisenstadt 7
Manussos Marangudakis

CHANGING CHINA: DEALING WITH DIVERSITY

- Dissent of China's Public Intellectuals in the Post-Mao Era 29
Merle Goldman
- Modernization of Law in China – its Meaning, Achievements,
Obstacles and Prospect 41
Qingbo Zhang
- China's State in the Trenches: A Gramscian Analysis of Civil Society
and Rights-Based Litigation 57
Scott Wilson
- Manufacturing Dissent: Domestic and International Ramifications of
China's Summer of Labor Unrest 77
Francis Schortgen and Shalendra Sharma

NEOLIBERALISM AND THE CHANGES IN EAST ASIAN WELFARE AND EDUCATION

Business Opportunities and Philanthropic Initiatives: Private Entrepreneurs, Welfare Provision and the Prospects for Social Change in China.....	101
<i>Beatrix Carrillo Garcia</i>	
Time, Politics and Homelessness in Contemporary Japan.....	117
<i>Ritu Vij</i>	
Educational Modernisation Across the Taiwan Straits: Pedagogical Transformation in Primary School Moral Education Textbooks in the PRC and Taiwan.....	143
<i>David C. Schak</i>	
Is China Saving Global Capitalism from the Global Crisis?	159
<i>Ho-fung Hung</i>	

ON CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHY

International Development, Paradox and Phronesis	183
<i>Robert Kowalski</i>	
Précis of “The World in the Head”	207
<i>Robert Cummins</i>	
Communication, Cooperation and Conflict.....	223
<i>Steffen Borge</i>	
Contributors	243
Impressum	245
On ProtoSociology.....	246
Published Volumes	247
Digital Volumes available	252
Bookpublications of the Project.....	253

MULTIPLE MODERNITIES AND THE THEORY OF INDETERMINACY – ON THE DEVELOPMENT AND THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF THE HISTORICAL SOCIOLOGY OF SHMUEL N. EISENSTADT

Manusos Marangudakis

Abstract

*The essay presents the parallel development of Shmuel Eisenstadt's historical and theoretical sociology from a critical correction of structural functionalism found in *The Political Systems of Empires* to the full development of the theory of indeterminacy of his later works that culminated in the 'multiple modernities' thesis. The key factor that shapes the course of Eisenstadt's theoretical progress is the crucial role of various elites to fill the open space between actuality and potentiality creating and sustaining institutions that permit the development of structural differentiation according to some fundamental cosmological and cognitive principles that shape the course of historical development inside these social systems. Infusing structural-functionalism with a strong dose of conflict sociology, Eisenstadt came to the conclusion that social development is not a process of internal systemic growth, but the unintended consequence of the elites' efforts to institutionally control free resources. And while this process in the pre-modern past led to the development of relatively distinct civilizations, in the framework of modernity has created a global framework of fundamental contradictions of tensions intrinsically irresolvable.*

From the study of agrarian empires (1963), to his later works on axiality (1986), modernity (2002), and revolution (2006) Shmuel Eisenstadt remained equally concerned about understanding historical change and developing a sound sociological theory; in fact, he considered them to be the two sides of the same coin: social theory is useless if it does not correspond to reality, and reality makes sense only through the lenses of social theory. A case of truism as it sounds, for Eisenstadt it became a vehicle first to correct and then to alter in a rather radical way structural functionalism, both in its historical context and in substance; I will call it the 'theory of indeterminacy'. And based upon this theory, he developed the most radical historic-sociological model to understand modernity since the development of convergence-modernization theory in the 1960s and World System Theory in the 1970s, the theory of 'multiple modernities'.

DISSENT OF CHINA'S PUBLIC INTELLECTUALS IN THE POST-MAO ERA

Merle Goldman

Abstract

During the reign of China's Communist Party leader, Mao Zedong (1949–1976), any political or academic dissent was brutally suppressed. With Mao's death in 1976, China, under the leadership of Deng Xiaoping and his successors, opened China to the outside world and loosened political controls over the intellectual community. As China moved to a market economy and engagement with the Western world, the party loosened controls over intellectual endeavors. Nevertheless, a small number of intellectuals who criticized party policies and publicly called for democratic reforms were silenced and a number of them were imprisoned. Though, intellectuals enjoyed more personal and academic freedom in the post-Mao era, if they criticized the party's policies or practices directly, they were ostracized from the intellectual community and a small number were imprisoned.

During the rule of the People's Republic of China by the leader of the Communist Party, Mao Zedong, who reigned from 1949 until his death in 1976, China was governed by a totalitarian system. Mao and the party not only dominated the country's political life, but also the economic, intellectual, artistic and personal lives of its subjects. With Mao's death in 1976, his successor and former Long March comrade, Deng Xiaoping, became China's paramount leader until his death in 1997. During this period, China moved from a totalitarian to an authoritarian regime. The party still dominated the political system and except for elections at the village level, determined the political hierarchy. At the same time, as China moved to a market economy and participated in the international community, controls over the economic, social, cultural, and personal lives of its populace were loosened. Along with China's opening to the outside world, these changes made possible a degree of freedom in people's personal, cultural and intellectual lives. Though an authoritarian one-party state, the party's loosening of controls over people's every-day lives unleashed a proliferation of ideas, activities and artistic endeavors outside the party's control.

These changes in the post-Mao era also made possible the appearance of public intellectuals in the People's Republic. Public intellectuals are not unique to Western civilization. They have played a major role throughout Chinese history. China's pre-modern intellectuals, the Confucian literati, not only ran

MODERNIZATION OF LAW IN CHINA – ITS MEANING, ACHIEVEMENTS, OBSTACLES AND PROSPECT

Qingbo Zhang

Abstract

The core of modernization of law in China is the protection of basic rights of freedom and equality, which was lacking in the traditional Chinese society. Today, the modernization from the perspective of legal texts has been fast thoroughly finished, while the function of law and the mentality of people need developing. This process could be better understood against the whole background of modernization in China. With the deepening of modernization a modern legal system could be expected in China in the long run.

Confronted with the external pressure from the West und motivated by the internal necessities of reform, Chinese law has had to change itself to a great extent since Late Qing Dynasty. The history of the legal reform more than 170 years is divided into 4 phases¹: From 1840, in which Britain forced Qing Dynasty to trade, to the beginning of 20th Century, the empire tried its best to conserve the contents of traditional system while introducing some forms of new law; After that, Qing Dynasty and governments in Republic of China have wholly imitated the legal system of Japan and Germany; Since the establishment of People' Republic in 1949, more exactly, since the establishment of revolutionary base area by Communist Party of China (CPC) in the 1930's until 1978, the legislation and state form of CPC has been fast a copy of Soviet Union; From 1980's to today the modernization of law (MoL) in China has truly taken a way combining Chinese traditions and Western advantages without any prejudice. After such a long and complicated process of the MoL, it is meaningful to calculate its achievements and challenges. I believe that with this evaluation we could be optimistic about the chance of success of MoL in China.

¹ Zhongxin Fan, Three Paths of Modernization of Chinese Law (范忠信, 中国法律现代化的三条道路), Legal Science 2002/2, p. 9–14.

CHINA'S STATE IN THE TRENCHES: A GRAMSCIAN ANALYSIS OF CIVIL SOCIETY AND RIGHTS-BASED LITIGATION

Scott Wilson

Abstract

The article analyzes the rise of civil society organizations and litigation related to environmental pollution and HIV/AIDS in China. China's state has responded to pressure from civil society with regulations to limit civil society organizations' contentiousness and ties to international groups, restricted access to the courts, and creation of GONGOs to vie with grassroots organizations over leadership of civil society. Against liberal theories of civil society, the author argues for a Gramscian model of civil society in which the state takes an active role in constructing its hegemony in civil society.

For the last two decades, activity in Chinese civil society has been on the rise. Although China's Communist Party has staved off challenges to its power that brought about political transitions in Eastern European and the Former Soviet states, it has greatly increased scope for social movements and use of courts to defend citizens' rights. China's central government has become more permissive of social movements and legal contestation – in some quarters, state officials actively encourage both – but the state's tolerance for agitation and litigation is limited. Under the rubric of “*weiwen*” (protecting stability), China's state reins in activities that might tarnish the Communist Party's legitimacy and imperil its rule. How can we understand the Janus-faced approach of China's state to social movements and rights-based litigation? And, how has the entry of international civil society organizations and funders affected the balance of forces arrayed in Chinese civil society? I use Gramscian theory to argue that the state has attempted to intervene in civil society and litigation to protect its hegemony. China's state has granted greater scope to non-state actors in civil society and the courts, but it has also: 1) created a set of rules and regulations on the activities of civil society organizations and attorneys to canalize societal opposition, 2) intervened to limit close ties between international funders and domestic grassroots activist organizations, and 3) used state-backed organizations to vie with grassroots organizations and activist attorneys to protect the interests of the public.

Building on the liberal theory that civil society growth can lead to democ-

MANUFACTURING DISSENT: DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL RAMIFICATIONS OF CHINA'S SUMMER OF LABOR UNREST

Francis Schortgen and Shalendra Sharma

Abstract

With the onset of heretofore unprecedented instances of labor unrest in the summer of 2010, it has become readily apparent that China's economy has reached a critical juncture. Perceptions of rising social inequity and redistributive injustice are indicative of strains of economic growth that have proved as inevitable as they are consequential. Against the backdrop of an impending leadership transition and a global economy emerging from recessionary throes, changing labor market conditions will shape economic development and growth in substantive ways as first-tier cities and provinces are beginning a transition from take-off to early maturity stage of development. In its effort to mitigate regional disparities, China is locked into a precarious socio-economic balancing act with far-reaching consequences for domestic stability and international competitiveness. What are the short- to medium-term implications for China's domestic political economy space? What is the likely effect on China's global labor cost arbitrage and international competitiveness?

As it enters the second decade of the 21st century, China is displaying all the signs of transitioning into a new stage of economic development. The economic opening up of China in the early 1980s had sowed the seeds for “the most successful joint venture in world history” – the combination of Western capital and purchasing power and Chinese low-cost labor (Steingart 2008, 105). Throughout the initial reform period, policymakers focused most of their time and energy on crafting policies aimed at sustaining economic growth and development at all costs. On the surface, China’s economic transformation is nothing short of an economic miracle. However, on closer analysis, the sustainability of its newfound status as a powerful engine for economic growth in Asia and the world critically depends on the government’s ability to mitigate potentially destabilizing side-effects of rapid economic growth. Moreover, the breadth and depth of the expanding fault lines in China’s economic terrain serve as powerful reminders of the precarious nature of China’s political economy and an economic development trajectory punctuated by social, institutional or political

BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES AND PHILANTHROPIC INITIATIVES: PRIVATE ENTREPRENEURS, WELFARE PROVISION AND THE PROSPECTS FOR SOCIAL CHANGE IN CHINA

Beatriz Carrillo Garcia

Abstract

This paper explores the different ways in which the Chinese Party-state has promoted for-profit service provision and the philanthropic initiatives of private entrepreneurs, in order to elucidate the changing nature of China's social contract. Throughout the 1980s and up to the mid-1990s the prevalent social contract, built around the idea that market mechanisms would bring economic prosperity to all citizens, had largely not been challenged. That changed in the late 1990s as a result of rising socio-economic inequalities, massive lay-offs from state owned enterprise reform, rising urban poverty, rising health care costs, the countryside consistently falling behind urban levels of development, and other social issues. Over the last decade there has been a reconfiguration and rearticulation of the social contract in China, with social welfare policy becoming a key element of the Party-state's efforts to maintain legitimacy. Initially adopting an ambivalent position towards the private provision of core public services such as health and education, the Party-state now recognises the important role played by private service providers, and has introduced legislation designed to protect the providers of such services, while also ensuring that their activities can be regulated more closely.

Over the last three decades of economic reform much has been written about changing state-society relations in the People's Republic of China. That literature has on the one hand highlighted the fluid boundaries between state and society, while on the other hand it has also noted the growing autonomy of social and private actors *vis a vis* the Party-state (Perry 1994; White, Howell and Shang 1996; Saich 2000; Li 2009; Goodman 2009). These two seemingly contradictory findings are evidence of the changing nature of China's social contract; that is, the way in which the state gains its legitimacy to rule. Social welfare has been one of the most important mechanisms through which the state builds that legitimacy. There we have seen the continued importance of state provision, alongside a burgeoning participation by private capital and social organizations in the delivery of various welfare services (Carrillo 2008; Saich 2008; Schwartz and Shieh 2009; Carrillo and Duckett 2011). This article is concerned with how the changing nature of the social contract is played

EDUCATIONAL MODERNISATION ACROSS THE TAIWAN STRAITS: PEDAGOGICAL TRANSFORMATION IN PRIMARY SCHOOL MORAL EDUCATION TEXTBOOKS IN THE PRC AND TAIWAN

David C. Schak

Abstract

Chinese education was for millennia been based on memorization of texts and teacher-centered instruction. However, new primary school moral education texts produced in the past decade in both the PRC and Taiwan are based on a radically different pedagogy with students as the focus and as personally involved in their education through research, analysis of findings, and active classroom participation. Moreover, their education extends beyond acquiring knowledge and includes confidence building, social skills and emotional problem solving. The major questions are the extent to which this new pedagogy will be followed and be extended to other subjects and into middle school.

In their six decades as separate societies, Taiwan and the PRC have undergone profound changes, not least in education. This paper examines four sets of primary school moral education text books, a presently used set from each society as well as a 1970 set from Taiwan and a 1988 set from China.¹ It will show that the present-day sets utilize a radically different pedagogy and from the sets used in the past and manifest a profound change in the way children are perceived. Moreover, the new pedagogies and several additions in content are very similar, which is quite remarkable since it is unlikely that there was direct collaboration between the text writers from each society. At the same time the sets retain some differences, reflecting their individual development paths and national ideologies.

For millennia Chinese education has been based on rote memorization of texts and a teacher-centered approach, i.e. teacher teaches, students listen and absorb. However, an examination of primary school textbooks from Taiwan and the PRC reveals a profound change toward a student-centered approach which engages students in the learning process, calling on them to think, draw their own conclusions and form opinions. The presently used texts reflect a changed conception of children from passive vessels into which knowledge is to

¹ The 1970 set was little changed until after Taiwan democratized in 1988, so it is comparable in time to the 1988 PRC set.

Is CHINA SAVING GLOBAL CAPITALISM FROM THE GLOBAL CRISIS?

Ho-fung Hung

Abstract

Ever since the onset of the latest global financial crisis in 2008, China's continuous rapid growth has led many to see the Chinese model as a viable alternative to neoliberal development. Some even see Chinese capitalism as the last hope for the rejuvenation of global capitalism. This paper argues that rather than constituting a progressive alternative to neoliberalism, China's stellar export-led economic growth is in fact a core part of the global neoliberal order. The exceptional competitiveness of China's export sector originates in an urban-bias policy that is detrimental to rural-agricultural development, creating a large rural surplus labor, perpetuating the low manufacturing wage among rural migrant workers, and restraining domestic consumption. The falling consumption share of the economy led China to depend on western markets, the US in particular, for its exports. The global financial crisis ended the consumption spree in the US and elsewhere in the global North, precipitating a crisis of China's export-led growth. China's apparent success in weathering the global economic crisis so far is grounded on a stimulus program that escalated debt-financed fixed asset investment, which is unsustainable, though the beginning of the end of the urban bias is also evident over the last few years. The continuous rise of China as the new center of global capitalism in the long run, therefore, hinges not on the perpetuation of China's current model of development, but on whether China could shift to a new model of development based on urban-rural balanced growth and larger household consumption share of the economy.

Is China Saving Global Capitalism from the Global Crisis?

In the aftermath of the Great Crash of 2008 that battered most western economies, China seems to weather the storm smoothly and maintained its hyper economic growth in 2009–2011, despite a temporary slowdown in early 2009. China's rapid rebound drove up the demand for commodities and machines that helps many natural resources exporters, such as Brazil and Australia, and capital goods exporters, such as Germany, escape the worst of the global crisis. While many business elite look to China's strong recovery as representing a vast, new, and limitless frontier to profit from just when business profitability in wealthy countries sees little room for expansion, many leading intellectuals see China as a mighty challenger to western global capitalist domination.

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT, PARADOX AND PHRONESIS

Robert Kowalski

Abstract

Three out of five paradoxes previously identified within international development are considered to be the core challenges to professional practice and congruence. The first, that of fostering autonomy, is considered from the perspective of the role that language plays in maintaining inappropriate donor ascendancy taking the concept of participation as an exemplar. The second, based in determinism and free will, is discussed in terms of the gap between practitioners' espoused theory and theory-in-use that creates a syndrome of dissonance that undermines practice by elevating the importance of techne above phronesis and exemplified in the practice of planning. The third, where help appears as a threat, is discussed in terms of the moral hazard and the failure to distinguish need from deficiency, linked to humanitarian assistance and development assistance.

Introduction

Jamieson (1987) and Uphoff (1996) have suggested that *Development* is really a process of *Managed Change* in response to an ever-changing environment. Thus development necessarily suffers from all the paradoxes that bedevil management highlighted by Kowalski (2006). In addition, many other paradoxical issues that are pertinent to the context of development throw up important implications for both professionals and managers in what Deci (1995, p.158) refers to as: "one-up positions" in other contexts that are related to development through having to pursue organisational missions that encompass the achievement of external social impact as well as having to achieve more proximal organisational change. In this regard Kowalski (2010) drew attention to five particular, though related, paradoxes that fall into this category, namely:

1. The core paradox of helping to self help, where intervening inevitably robs people of their necessary autonomy. As Ellerman (2005, p.2) recognised: "the notion of helping people to help themselves is in fact a deep conundrum far more subtle than is realized by the many develop-

COMMUNICATION, COOPERATION AND CONFLICT

Steffen Borge

Abstract:

According to Steven Pinker and his associates the cooperative model of human communication fails, because evolutionary biology teaches us that most social relationships, including talk-exchange, involve combinations of cooperation and conflict. In particular, the phenomenon of the strategic speaker who uses indirect speech in order to be able to deny what he meant by a speech act (deniability of conversational implicatures) challenges the model. In reply I point out that interlocutors can aim at understanding each other (cooperation), while being in conflict. Furthermore, Pinker's strategic speaker relies on the Cooperative Principle when conveying a conversational implicature, and so non-cooperative behaviour (denial) only emerges as a response to a negative reaction from the audience. It is also doubtful in the cases Pinker presents whether a denial will successfully cancel the conversational implicature – change the audience's interpretation of speaker's meaning. I also argue that a strategic speaker might choose indirect speech due to the ignorability of conversational implicatures, in which case the strategic speaker can be highly cooperative.

I. Introduction

Previously evolutionary psychologists understood the evolutionary idea of “natural selection” or “the survival of the fittest” as meaning that every human being ultimately stands alone in constant competition with others for resources in the battle of life.¹ Though Darwin had a much more nuanced view (Darwin 1871), orthodoxy in social darwinism was that our natural state is a state of dog eat dog. Today the academic tide has turned and many scholars argue that man is an essentially cooperative creature (Henrich and Henrich 2006, Tomasello 2009, Bowles and Gintis 2011). Our natural disposition is towards cooperation, not competition (Keltner and Anderson 2000, Thoits and Hewitt 2001, Fehr and Fischbacher 2003, Bowles 2006). This line sits well with the so-called cooperative model of human communication. The model was first

¹ The notion of the survival of the fittest was not introduced by Charles Darwin in his *On the Origin of Species* (Darwin 1859), but by Herbert Spencer (see Paul for a discussion of when Spencer first used the expression, Paul 1988: 412–413). Darwin himself added the phrase to the text in the fifth edition of *On the Origin of Species* (Darwin 1869: 22).

CONTRIBUTORS

Steffen Borge, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Philosophy, Trondheim, Norway.

Robert Charles Cummins, Department of Philosophy, University of California, Davis, United States.

Beatriz Carrillo Garcia, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, University of Sydney, Australia.

Merle Goldman, Sarah Lawrence College; M.A., Radcliffe College; Harvard University, United States.

Ho-fung Hung, Department of Sociology, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, United States.

Robert Kowalski, The Centre for International Development and Training, University of Wolverhampton, Priorslee, Telford, Shropshire, United Kingdom.

Manussos Marangudakis, Department of Sociology, University of the Aegean, Mytilene, Greece.

David C. Schak, Department of International Business and Asian Studies, Griffith University, Nathan, Queensland, Australia.

Francis Schortgen, Department of Political Science & International Studies, University of Mount Union, Alliance, United States.

Shalendra D. Sharma, Department of Politics, University of San Francisco, San Francisco, United States.

Scott Wilson, Political Science Department, The University of the South, Sewanee, United States.

Ritu Vij, School of Social Science, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB, Scotland.

Qingbo Zhang, Faculty of Law, Macau University of Science and Technology,
Avenida Wai Long, Taipa, Macau, PR China.

IMPRESSUM

ProtoSociology: An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research – issn 1611–1281

Editor: Gerhard Preyer

Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main, Dep. of Social Sciences

Editorial staff: Georg Peter

Project Multiple Modernities: Reuß-Markus Krauß (East-Asia Representative)

Layout and digital publication: Georg Peter

Editorial office: ProtoSociology, Stephan-Heise-Str. 56, 60488 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, phone: (049)069–769461,

Email: preyer@em.uni-frankfurt.de, peter@protosociology.de

Bank: Dresdner Bank AG, Frankfurt am Main, account: 44 121 168 01, BLZ: 500 800 00–SWIFT-BIC: DRES DE FF IBAN DE60 5008 0000 4412 1168 01

Die Zeitschrift soll 1/2jährlich erscheinen. Die Anzahl der jährlich erscheinenden Hefte und Sonderhefte bleibt jedoch vorbehalten.

Copyright: Die in dieser Zeitschrift veröffentlichten Beiträge sind urheberrechtlich geschützt. Alle Rechte sind vorbehalten. Übersetzungen, Nachdruck, Vervielfältigung auf fotomechanischem oder ähnlichem Weg oder im Magnettonverfahren, Wiedergabe durch Vortrag, Funk- und Fernsehsendungen sowie Speicherung in Datenverarbeitungsanlagen, auch auszugsweise, sind nur mit Genehmigung des Herausgebers möglich. Für eingereichte Beiträge wird keine Haftung übernommen. Weitere Publikationsrechte von Artikeln bleiben vorbehalten. Zweitpublikationen seitens des Autors werden eingeräumt. Bei einer Zweitpublikation ist das Heft (Nummer, Titel, Erscheinungsjahr) der PROTOSOCIOLOGY zu zitieren. Für unaufgefordert eingesandte Manuskripte wird keine Haftung übernommen. Gerichtsstand ist Frankfurt am Main.

Copyright: All rights reserved. This publication may not be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior permission in writing of the publisher. Additional publications of the articles are reserved. The authors retain the personal right to re-use their own articles. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients is granted by PROTOSOCIOLOGY, provided that the base fee is paid directly to VG Wort, Goethestr. 49, 80336 München RFA. The publisher accepts no responsibility for submitted manuscripts.

ON PROTOSOCIOLOGY

Protosociology plays an important role among philosophy journals with connected contributions on important and breaking topics – such the nature and special features of collective cognitive states – that do not receive such generous attention in other journals. It is worth serious consideration for inclusion in a library's philosophy collection.

Margaret Gilbert, Storrs (USA)

The relatively young journal Protosociology has become an important forum for discussion in the philosophy of social science and of sociality and, more broadly, for theoretical discussion in social science. It is especially interesting and important that such new fields as social metaphysics and social epistemology as well as research related to collective intentionality and its applications have acquired a prominent place in the agenda of Protosociology.

Raimo Tuomela

Protosociology occupies an important position in the European intellectual scene, bridging philosophy, economics, sociology and related disciplines. Its volumes on rationality bring together concerns in all these topics, and present an important challenge to the cognitive sciences.

Donald Davidson, Berkeley (USA)

Protosociology publishes original papers of great interest that deal with fundamental issues in the human and social science. No academic library is complete without it.

Nicholas Rescher, Pittsburgh (USA)

Protosociology has been remarkably successful in publishing interesting work from different tradition and different disciplines and, as the title signals, in giving that work a new, eye-catching slant.

Philip Pettit, Canberra, Australia

Protosociology is a truly premier interdisciplinary journal that publishes articles and reviews on timely topics written by and for a wide range of international scholars. The recent volumes on rationality are remarkable for their breadth and depth. Protosociology would be a great addition to any library.

Roger Gibson, St. Louis (USA)

Published Volumes

ProtoSociology

An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

Volume 28, 2011

China's Modernization I

Contents

CHANGING CHINA: DEALING WITH DIVERSITY

Class, Citizenship and Individualization in China's Modernization
Björn Alpermann

Chinese Nation-Building as, Instead of, and Before Globalization
Andrew Kipnis

Principles for Cosmopolitan Societies: Values for Cosmopolitan Places
John R. Gibbins

ON MODERNIZATION: LAW, BUSINESS, AND ECONOMY IN CHINA

Modernizing Chinese Law: The Protection of Private Property in China
Sanzhu Zhu

Chinese Organizations as Groups of People – Towards a Chinese Business Administration
Peter J. Peverelli

Income Gaps in Economic Development: Differences among Regions, Occupational Groups and Ethnic Groups
Ma Rong

THINKING DIFFERENTIATIONS: CHINESE ORIGIN AND THE WESTERN CULTURE

Signs and Wonders: Christianity and Hybrid Modernity in China
Richard Madsen

Confucianism, Puritanism, and the Transcendental: China and America
Thorsten Botz-Bornstein

China and the Town Square Test
Jeffrey N. Wasserstrom

Metaphor, Poetry and Cultural Implicature..
Ying Zhang

ON CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHY

Can Science Change our Notion of Existence?
Jody Azzouni

The Epistemological Significance of Practices
Alan Millar

On Cappelen and Hawthorne's "Relativism and Monadic Truth"
J. Adam Carter

15.- Euro. Order and download:
<http://www.protosociology.de>

ProtoSociology

An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

Volume 27, 2011

Modernization in Times of Globalization II

Contents

NEW THEORETICAL APPROACHES

Religion, International Relations and Transdisciplinarity
Roland Robertson

Modernization, Rationalization and Globalization
Raymond Boudon

Modernity Confronts Capitalism: From a Moral Framework to a Countercultural Critique to a Human-Centered Political Economy
Ino Rossi

Three Dimensions of Subjective Globalization
Manfred B. Steger and Paul James

Transnational Diasporas: A New Era or a New Myth?
Eliezer Ben-Rafael

The Discursive Politics of Modernization: Catachresis and Materialization
Terrell Carver

THE PROBLEM OF SOCIAL ORDER IN A DISORDERED TIME

From Order to Violence: Modernization Reconfigured
David E. Apter

Institutional Transfer and Varieties of Capitalism in Transnational Societies
Carlos H. Waisman

Media Distortion – A Phenomenological Inquiry Into the Relation between News and Public Opinion
Louis Kontos

Labor Migration in Israel: The Creation of a Non-free Workforce
Rebeca Rajman and Adriana Kemp

ON CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHY

Deference and the Use Theory
Michael Devitt

Constitution and Composition: Three Approaches to their Relation
Simon J. Evnine

15.- Euro. Order and download:
<http://www.protosociology.de>

Published Volumes

ProtoSociology

An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

Volume 26, 2009

Modernization in Times of Globalization I

Contents

MULTIPLE MODERNIZATION

Contemporary Globalization, New Inter-civilizational Visions and Hegemonies:
Transformation of Nation-States
Shmuel N. Eisenstadt

Multipolarity means thinking plural: Modernities
Jan Nederveen Pieterse

Postmodernism and Globalization
Omar Lizardo and Michael Strand

Latin American Modernities: Global, Trans-national, Multiple, Open-Ended
Luis Roniger

Institutions, Modernity, and Modernization
Fei-Ling Wang

THE STRUCTURE OF THE GLOBAL LEGAL SYSTEM

Modern Society and Global Legal System as Normative Order of Primary and Secondary Social Systems
Werner Krawietz

International Justice and the Basic Needs Principle
David Copp

CASE STUDIES

Spatial Struggles: State Disenchantment and Popular Re-appropriation of Space in Rural Southeast China
Mayfair Mei-hui Yang

Re-Engineering the "Chinese Soul" in Shanghai?
Aihwa Ong

Territorial Stigmatization in the Age of Advanced Marginality
Loïc Wacquant

Quixote, Bond, Rambo: Cultural Icons of Hegemonic Decline
Albert J. Bergesen

ON CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHY AND SOCIOLOGY

Implicature, Appropriateness and Waranted Assertability
Ron Wilburn

Is the Whole More than the Sum of its Parts?
Matthias Thiemann

270 pages, 15.- Euro. Order
<http://www.protosociology.de>

Published Volumes

ProtoSociology

An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

Double Volume 25, 2008

*Philosophy of Mathematics –
Set Theory, Measuring Theories, and Nominalism*

Preface

Gerhard Preyer, Georg Peter

PART I:**SET THEORY, INCONSISTENCY, AND
MEASURING THEORIES**

Douglas Patterson

*Representationalism and Set-Theoretic
Paradox*

Mark Colyvan

Who's Afraid of Inconsistent Mathematics?

Andrew Arana

Logical and Semantic Purity

Wilhelm K. Essler

*On Using Measuring Numbers according to
Measuring Theories*

PART II**THE CHALLENGE OF NOMINALISM**

Jody Azzouni

*The Compulsion to Believe: Logical Infer-
ence and Normativity*

Otávio Bueno

Nominalism and Mathematical Intuition

Yvonne Raley

*Jobless Objects: Mathematical Posits in
Crisis*

Susan Vineberg

*Is Indispesability Still a Problem for
Fictionalism?*

PART III**HISTORICAL BACKGROUND**

Madeline Muntersbjorn

Mill, Frege and the Unity of Mathematics

Raffaella De Rosa and Otávio Bueno

Descartes on Mathematical Essences

**ON CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHY
AND SOCIOLOGY**

Nicholas Rescher

Presumption and the Judgement of Elites.

Steven I. Miller, Marcel Fredericks, Frank
J. Perino

*Social Science Research and Policymaking:
Meta-Analysis and Paradox Hidden Indexi-
cals and Pronouns..*

Nikola Kompa

*Review: Stephen Schiffer, The Things We
Mean*

J. Gregory Keller

Agency Implies Weakness of Wil

Published Volumes

ProtoSociology

An International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

Volume 24, 2007

**Shmuel N. Eisenstadt: Multiple Modernities –
A Paradigma of Cultural and Social Evolution**

Introduction (*Gerhard Preyer*)

**PART I: MULTIPLE MODERNITIES AND
STRUCTURAL DIFFERENTIATION**

- 1 Multiple Modernities: The Basic Framework and Problematic
- 2 The Dialogue between Cultures or between Cultural Interpretations of Modernity – Multiple Modernities on the Contemporary Scene
- 3 Social Division of Labor, Construction of Centers and Institutional Dynamics: A Reassessment of the Structural-Evolutionary Perspective
- 4 Transformation and Transposition of the Thematic of Multiple Modernities in the Era of Globalization

PART II: RELIGION, AScriptive SOLIDARITY AND COLLECTIVE IDENTITY

- 5 The Protestant Ethic and Modernity – Comparative Analysis with and beyond Weber
- 6 The Transformations of the Religious Dimension in the Constitution of Contemporary Modernities

- 7 The Religious Origins of Modern Radical Movements
- 8 Cultural Programs, The Construction of Collective Identities and the Continual Reconstruction of Primordiality

PART III: THE INITIAL AND THE NEW RESEARCH PROGRAM

- 9 A Sociological Approach to Comparative Civilizations: The Development and Directions of a Research Program 1986
- 10 Collective Identities, Public Spheres and Political Order: Modernity in the Framework of A Comparative Analysis of Civilizations Report for 1955–2002. *With the collaboration of Tal Kohavi, Julia Lerner, Ronna Brayer-Grab*

S. N. Eisenstadt: List of the Major Publications

Publikationen auf Deutsch
Original Publications

390 pages, 15.- Euro. Order
<http://www.protosociology.de>

ProtoSociology

DIGITAL VOLUMES AVAILABLE

- Vol. 28** China's Modernization I
- Vol. 27** Modernization in Times of Globalization II
- Vol. 26** Modernization in Times of Globalization I
- Vol. 25** Philosophy of Mathematics –
Set Theory, Measuring Theories, and Nominalism
- Vol. 24** Shmuel N. Eisenstadt: Multiple Modernities –
A Paradigma of Cultural and Social Evolution
- Vol. 23** Facts, Slingshots and Anti-Representationalism
On Stephen Neale's Facing Facts
- Vol. 22** Compositionality, Concepts and Representations II:
New Problems in Cognitive Science
- Vol. 21** Compositionality, Concepts and Representations I:
New Problems in Cognitive Science
- Vol. 20** World-SystemAnalysis: Contemporary Research and Directions
- Vol. 18/19** Understanding the Social II: ThePhilosophy of Sociality
- Vol. 17** Semantic Theory and Reported Speech
- Vol. 16** Understanding the Social I: New Perspectives from Epistemology
- Vol. 15** On a Sociology of Borderlines: Social Process in Time of Globalization
- Vol. 14** Folk Psychology, Mental Concepts and the Ascription of Attitudes
- Vol. 13** Reasoning and Argumentation
- Vol. 12** After the Received View – Developments in the Theory of Science
- Vol. 11** Cognitive Semantics II – Externalism in Debate (free download!)
- Vol. 10** Cognitive Semantics I – Conceptions of Meaning
- Vol. 8/9** Rationality II &III (double volume)

Order and download directly from our hompepage:
www.protosociology.de

Payment by credit card or bank tranfer: 15.- Euro each
 For subscription or additional questional, please contact:
 peter@science-digital.com

ProtoSociology. Editor: Gerhard Preyer, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main FB 3: Department of Social Sciences. Editorial staff: Georg Peter.
 Editorial office: Stephan-Heise-Str. 56, D-60488 Frankfurt am Main,
 Tel. 069/769461

Bookpublications of the Project (extract)

Sociology

Rolle, Status, Erwartungen und soziale Gruppe. Gerhard Preyer. Springer/VS Verlag. 2012.

Selbstbeobachtung der modernen Gesellschaft und die neuen Grenzen des Sozialen. Georg Peter und Reuß Markus Krause (Hrsg.). Springer/VS Verlag. 2012

Zur Aktualität von Shmuel N. Eisenstadt – Eine Einleitung in sein Werk. Gerhard Preyer. VS Verlag 2011.

Max Webers Religionsssoziologie. Eine Neubewertung. Gerhard Preyer. Humanities Online 2010.

Gesellschaft im Umbruch II – Jenseits von National- und Wohlfahrtsstaat. Gerhard Preyer. Verlag Humanities Online 2009.

Gesellschaft im Umbruch I. Politische Soziologie im Zeitalter der Globalisierung. Jakob Schissler und Gerhard Preyer. Verlag Humanities Online 2002.

Philosophy of Education in the Era of Globalization. Edited by Yvonne Raley and Gerhard Preyer. Routledge 2010.

In China erfolgreich sein – Kulturunterschiede erkennen und überbrücken. Gerhard Preyer, Reuß-Markus Krause. Gabler Verlag 2009

Neuer Mensch und kollektive Identität in der Kommunikationsgesellschaft. Hrsg. von Gerhard Preyer. VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaft 2009.

Borderlines in a Globalized World. New Perspectives in a Sociology of the World System. Gerhard Preyer, Mathias Bös (eds.). Kluwer 2002.

Philosophy

Consciousness and Subjectivity. Sofia Miguens, Gerhard Preyer (eds.). Ontos Publishers 2012.

Triangulation – From an Epistemological Point of View. Maria Cristina Amoretti, Gerhard Preyer (eds.). Ontos Publishers 2011.

Intention and Practical Thought. Gerhard Preyer. Humanities Online 2011.

Contextualism in Philosophy. Knowledge, Meaning an Truth. Gerhard Preyer, Georg Peter (eds.). Oxford University Press 2005.

Context-Sensitivity and Semantic Minimalism – New Essays on Semantics and Pragmatics. Gerhard Preyer and Georg Peter (eds.). Oxford University Press 2007.

Concepts of Meaning. Framing an Integrated Theory of Linguistic Behavior. Gerhard Preyer, Georg Peter, Maria Ulkan (eds.). Kluwer 2003. Rep. Springer Verlag, Wien.

Analytische Ästhetik. Eine Untersuchung zu Nelson Goodman und zur lit. Parodie. Georg Peter. ONTOS-Verlag 2002.

Logical Form and Language. Gerhard Preyer, Georg Peter (eds.). Oxford University Press 2002.

Donald Davidson's Philosophy. From Radical Interpretation to Radical Contextualism. Gerhard Preyer. Verlag Humanities Online, dt. 2001, engl. 2006.

The Contextualization of Rationality. Gerhard Preyer, Georg Peter (eds.). Mentis 2000.

Selbstbeobachtung der modernen Gesellschaft und die neuen Grenzen des Sozialen

Georg Peter und Reuß Markus Krauße (Hrsg.)

Die wissenschaftliche Diskussion ihrer Grundbegriffe hat gezeigt, dass eine soziologische Theorie der Gegenwartsgesellschaft nicht mehr fachspezifisch selbstgenügsam gestaltet werden kann. Veränderte Grenzziehungen auf Seiten der Gesellschaft treffen auf neue und zu verändernde Grenzen auf Seiten ihrer Wissenschaft: Globalisierung, Mitgliedschaft und Gruppe sind in ihrer zunehmenden Dynamisierung begrifflich neu zu fassen in einem transdisziplinären Kontext. Die zusammengestellten Beiträge gehen diesem Forschungsproblem im Hinblick auf die Globalisierung und die Soziologie der Mitgliedschaft, die Untersuchung zur Ontologie des Sozialen, des Verstehens und der Erkenntnis nach und werfen einen Blick auf die veränderte ästhetische Erfahrung in der gesellschaftlichen Kommunikation.

INHALT

Zwischen den Disziplinen: ProtoSociology
Georg Peter und Reuß Markus Krauße

GLOBALISIERUNG UND DIE RESTRUKTURIERUNG DER GESELL- SCHAFTLICHEN FUNKTIONSSYSTEME

Contemporary Globalization, New Inter-civilizational Visions and Hegemonies:
Transformation of Nation-States
Shmuel N. Eisenstadt

Mere Connection: Do Communication Flows Compensate for the Lack of World Society?
Barrie Axford

Staatsbürgerschaft – ein Auslaufmodell?
Zur Dialektik der Konstruktion von Mitgliedschaft in nationalstaatlich verfassten Gesellschaften
Mathias Bös, Veronika Schmid

Ausdifferenzierung des modernen Rechtsystems und normative strukturelle Kopplung – sozialtal oder sozial?
Werner Krawietz

Religion, International Relations and Transdisciplinarity
Roland Robertson

Reflexive Säkularisierung: Religion als Differenzbewusstsein der Moderne
Thomas M. Schmidt

MITGLIEDSCHAFT, SOZIALE GRUPPE UND TYPISIERENDES VERSTEHEN

On Individualism and Collectivism in Social Science
Raimo Tuomela

A Dilemma for Non-Reductionist Accounts of Group Belief
Sara Rachel Chant

Transnational Diasporas: A New Era or a New Myth?
Eliezer Ben-Rafael

Paradox and Logical Types in Social Enterprises
Robert Kowalski

Max Webers Verständnis von „Sozialökonomik“: werkgeschichtliche Betrachtungen zum Ursprung seiner Verstehenden
Soziologie
Klaus Lichtblau

SEMANTIK, ETHIK UND EMOTION IM KONTEXT

What Sort of Science is Semantics?
Jerry Fodor and Ernie Lepore

Sense and Non-Sense – From Philosophy of Language to Ethics
Sofia Miguens

Was Wissen voraussetzt
Wilhelm K. Essler

Kritik der Gefühle
Barbara Merker

STÖRUNG UND SELBSTBEOBACHTUNG IM MEDIUM DER KUNST

Story Telling – Geschichten Erzählen in evolutionstheoretischer Perspektive
Carsten Gansel
Der Mann mit der Kamera. Zur Kritik am dokumentarischen Realismus in Jean-Luc Godards Kurzfilm *Caméra-oeil*.
Regine Prange

Extended Metaphor Reconsidered
Ying Zhang

Beiträger
Personen- und Sachindex

Springer/VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften 2012